



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/711,240

09/03/2004

Mark Daniel Gorman

129661

5239

30952 7590 09/04/2008
HARTMAN AND HARTMAN, P.C.
552 EAST 700 NORTH
VALPARAISO, IN 46383

EXAMINER

FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/04/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gayle@hartmaniplaw.com
domenica@hartmaniplaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/711,240	Applicant(s) GORMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner William P. Fletcher III	Art Unit 1792	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/11/2008</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment and remarks filed May 27, 2008, are noted with appreciation.
2. Claims 1-20 remain pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 11, 2008, was filed after the mailing date of the non-final Office action on March 26, 2008. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A. The Examiner acknowledges that US '901 does not expressly recite the term "overlay coating." It is the Examiner's position that additive zone 72 functions as an overlay coating in a fashion consistent with the evidence presented by Applicant. It is only diffusion zone 74 that diffuses into the underlying substrate (to diffusion zone 76); additive zone 72 does not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 1792

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-4 and 6-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0150901 A1 in view of US 6,153,313 A.

A. US '901 is cited for the same reasons as set forth under this heading in the prior Office action.

B. The claimed inter-metallic coating would have been obvious in view of the teaching of US '313 as explained in connection with claims 6-11 in the prior Office action.

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0150901 A1 in view of US 6,153,313 A, as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of US 4,743,514 A.

A. US '901 and US '313 are applied as detailed above.

B. Neither references explicitly states that the overlay is applied by PVD.

C. US '514 teaches that aluminum-containing overlay coatings may be applied by a variety of PVD techniques [3:14-22].

D. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of US '901 in view of US '313 so as to deposit the overlay by PVD. One skilled in

Art Unit: 1792

the art would have been motivated to do so by the desire and expectation of successfully depositing the coating, based on the teaching of US '514 is a suitable technique for doing so.

9. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0150901 A1 in view of US 6,153,313 A, as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of US 5,482,789 A.

A. US '901 and US '313 are applied as detailed above.

B. The claimed superalloys would have been obvious in view of the teaching of US '789 as explained in connection with the rejection of these claims in the prior Office action.

10. Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0150901 A1 in view of US 6,153,313 A, as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of US 5,455,120 A.

A. US '901 and US '313 are applied as detailed above.

B. The claimed superalloys would have been obvious in view of the teaching of US '120 as explained in connection with the rejection of these claims in the prior Office action.

11. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0150901 A1 in view of US 6,153,313 A and US 5,482,789 A or US 5,455,120 A.

A. These claims are rejected for the same reasons as set forth under this heading in the prior Office action and as explained above.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Sunday, 5:00 AM - 12:00 PM and Monday through Friday, 5:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

Primary Examiner

September 1, 2008